

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,180	02/06/2004	Sanjay K. Sancheti	CYPR-CD02216 7198		
75	90 08/12/2005	EXAMINER			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			LE, DINH THANH		
Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					D			
		Application No.	Ap	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/774,180 SANJAY K. SANCHETI		HETI				
		Examiner	Ar	t Unit				
		DINH T. LE	28	16				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover	sheet with the corre	espondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Se, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely fi num of thirty (30) days will IX (6) MONTHS from the n become ABANDONED (38	be considered timely nailing date of this co 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ji This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-fina ince except for form	nal matters, prosec		merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from considera						
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		cted to by the Exa	miner.				
. • / 🗀	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the	drawing(s) is objecte	ed to. See 37 CF				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been recei ts have been recei prity documents ha nu (PCT Rule 17.2(ved. ved in Application l ve been received in a)).	No	Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 1	nterview Summary (PT	O-413)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (P10-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pater Other:	·	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/774,180 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

FINAL REJECTION

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-23 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, it is unclear when 'in a delay lock loop mode and a phase locked loop mode"

will be happened and how the phase detector and the phase frequency detector can recognize the

modes.

In claim 3, it is unclear how the modes can be switched since no means for performing

the switching function is recited.

In claim 10, it is not understood how the delay blocks can be "configured" as recited on

line 4 and how the generator can be "operated" as a voltage controlled oscillator on line 7 and

what the "coarse adjustment" and "fine adjustment" are and how these adjustments can be

performed.

In claim 12, it is unclear what the "coarse match" is and when it can be encounter and

how the phase detector can be used. The same is true for claim 13.

In claim 15, it is not understood how the phase generator can be "configurable" in a first

mode and a second mode and how the generator can coupled to the detectors since no means for

performing the coupling function is recited and the generator cannot perform the coupling

function. The description is incomplete because the claimed loop circuit does not have an input

/output. Thus, the claimed loop circuit may not perform the recited function.

Art Unit: 2816

In claim 16, the description of the present invention is incomplete because the phase detector is not connected to anything. Thus, the claimed detector may not perform the recited function. The same is true for claim 20.

In claim 19, it is unclear how the control signal can change the first mode and the second mode since the phase detector is not connected to anything.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above claims and therefore also considered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Kurd (US 6,043,717).

Kurd discloses a circuit in Figures 2-4 comprising:

Kurd discloses in Figures 2-4 comprising:

- a configurable phase generator (225) having delay blocks being configured to operate as a delay loop mode to perform coarse adjustment and a voltage controlled oscillator in a phase locked mode for a fine adjustment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,180 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 1-5, 8-17 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (US 4,069,462) in view of Kurd (US 6,043,717).

As the best construed, Dunn discloses in Figure 2 a circuit comprising:

- a phase detector (19);

- a frequency detector (18);

- a voltage controlled oscillator (17); and

- wherein the circuit is configured to operate a DLL mode and a PLL mode.

However, Dunn does not disclose that the VCO (17) operates as a VCO and as a voltage controlled delay line.

Kurd discloses in Figures 2-4 comprising:

- a configurable phase generator (225) being configured to operate as a delay loop mode to perform coarse adjustment and a voltage controlled oscillator in a phase locked mode for a fine adjustment for reducing space, cost and complexity, see lines 23-30, column 3.

It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the configurable phase generator taught by Kurd in the circuit of Dunn for the purpose reducing size, cost and complexity.

With regard to claims 9, 14 and 23, the skew of the phases of the input signal(XCLK) would be suppressed.

Claims 6-7 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (US 4,069,462) in view of Kurd (US 6,043,717) and further in view of Paakinson (JP404227314A).

Dunn in view of Kurd discloses a circuit with all of the limitations of the base claims as stated above but does not disclose that each delay block is associated with a multiplexer.

Art Unit: 2816

Paakinson teaches in Figure 4 a selectable delay line comprising a cascaded delay elements each having a multiplexer (20) coupled to a respective delay (RD) for providing widely selectable delay times through the adjustment of input resistors (rd), see the Abstract. It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the delay line taught by Paakinson in the modified circuit of Dunn for the purpose of widely selecting delay times through the adjustment of the input resistors.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

The applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand claims 1-23. the argument is not persuasive because the applicant does not provide clarification.

The applicant argues that Kurd does not teach a method comprising "configured an element as a voltage controlled delay line in an delay locked mode to perform coarse adjustment and fine adjustment" in "particular sequence" as recited in claim 10. The argument is not persuasive because limitation "in particular sequence" is not recited in the claims. Moreover, the circuit in Figures 2-4 of Kurk show that it operates in DLL mode and PLL mode.

The applicant argues that combining the Dun with Kurk is improper because it would change the principle of operation of Dunn. The argument is not persuasive because both inventions are analogous art and the VCO of Dun can be replaced with the VCO of Kurd.

The applicant argues that modified circuit of Dunn in view of Kurd does not suggest first and second output signals of a phase generator. The argument is not persuasive because the recitation "first and second output signals" is not recited in claim 1. Moreover, the VCO of Kurd also generates a first output signal in a DLL mode and a second output signal in a PLL

Page 6

Art Unit: 2816

mode.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINH T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-1745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8AM-7PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY CALLAHAN can be reached at (571) 272-1740.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,180

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DINH LE

Page 7